Importance of local correlations for the order parameter of high-\(T_c\) superconductors
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It is shown that local temporal correlations in addition to nonlocal spatial correlations are important to understand the size and the doping dependence of the \(d\)-wave superconducting order parameter of high-temperature superconductors. To this end, the hole- and electron-doped two-dimensional Hubbard model at zero temperature is considered and treated by an extension of the variational cluster approximation. Within this approach, the effects of temporal correlations can be studied systematically and in a thermodynamically consistent way by comparing results obtained from different reference clusters. Contact can be made with previous cellular (plaquette) dynamical mean-field calculations. This shows that temporal correlations considerably decrease the order parameter and provide a substantial gain of binding energy. Besides, a few methodical insights regarding real-space quantum-cluster approaches are obtained in addition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There are strong efforts devoted to a convincing theory of high-temperature superconductivity with nonlocal \(d\)-wave order parameter. Some agreement has been achieved that essential physical properties can be studied within the prototypical two-dimensional single-band Hubbard model. Using standard notations, the (grand-canonical) Hamiltonian reads

\[
H = \sum_{ij\sigma} (t_{ij} - \mu \delta_{ij}) c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}
\]

with \(c_{i\sigma}^\dagger(c_{i\sigma})\) being the creation (annihilation) operator of an electron at lattice site \(r_i\) with spin projection \(\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow\). \(n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}\) denotes the corresponding occupation number operator. The energy scale is set by the nearest-neighbor hopping \(t_{nn} = -1\). To describe the universal low-energy physics of cuprates, an additional second-nearest-neighbor hopping term with \(t_{nnn} = 0.3\) (Ref. 2) as well as \(U = 8\) are taken as standard parameters which will be kept fixed throughout the paper.

The physics of the intermediate-to-strong-coupling regime of the model is notoriously complicated since there are several phases with different long- or short-range correlations competing on a low-energy scale. Due to the nonlocality of the \(d\)-wave order parameter in the superconducting phase, a pure (single-site) mean-field approach cannot capture the essential features of the model. On the other hand, a direct numerical solution of finite but large two-dimensional Hubbard lattices is, in principle, possible via a quantum Monte Carlo approach. However, for the interesting parameter regime, i.e., doped systems at low temperatures, the so-called sign problem makes simulations ineffective.

In this situation, quantum-cluster approaches\(^5\) appear promising, i.e., cluster extensions\(^7\) of the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) (Refs. 10 and 11) or the variational cluster approximation (VCA).\(^12,13\) Common to all quantum-cluster approaches is that, for the calculation of the electronic self-energy, a small cluster is self-consistently or variationally embedded in a noninteracting bath that approximately accounts for the effects of the cluster environment.

Two types of correlations must be distinguished here: (i) spatial correlations are neglected completely in single-site DMFT but are included within a cluster approach, up to the size of the cluster. Here the number \(L_c\) of correlated sites with finite Hubbard \(U\) in the cluster is essential. For the doped two-dimensional Hubbard model, the important feedback of nonlocal magnetic correlations on the single-particle spectrum, for example, can only be captured by a cluster approach. As spatial correlations are neglected beyond the size of the reference cluster, a quantum-cluster approach can also be seen as a cluster mean-field theory. (ii) Temporal correlations are fully accounted for already in single-site DMFT and give rise to a highly nontrivial frequency dependence of the self-energy which, for example, is vital to understand the Mott transition. Here the number of uncorrelated sites with \(U = 0\) representing the bath is essential. As in the DMFT a continuum of bath degrees of freedom is used, it can be considered as an optimal mean-field theory.\(^10\)\(^-\)\(^12\) If a continuum of bath sites is used, it is intended usually,\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) an optimal quantum-cluster approach is generated for a given \(L_c\).

Besides quantum Monte Carlo techniques, the Lanczos method\(^14\) is frequently used as a cluster solver since this allows to study the ground-state phase diagram zero at temperature \(T = 0\). As the Lanczos method is limited by the total number of sites, the VCA, if combined with Lanczos as a solver, usually takes into account as many correlated sites as possible but completely disregards bath degrees of freedom.\(^12\)\(^,\)\(^13\) The idea is that for a large cluster, temporal correlations are sufficiently accounted for since they are restored anyway in the infinite-cluster limit \(L_c \to \infty\) where the VCA (as any quantum-cluster approach) becomes exact.

Using the VCA (no bath sites included), the ground-state phase diagram of the two-dimensional Hubbard model has been explored using clusters with up to \(L_c = 12\) sites and different cluster geometries.\(^15\)\(^-\)\(^19\) Close to half filling, for hole as well as for electron doping, these calculations reveal a phase where antiferromagnetic (AF) order and \(d\)-wave superconductivity are microscopically coexisting (AF+SC). With increasing doping, a pure superconducting (SC) phase persists in both cases. In agreement with experimental data, the
phase diagram is asymmetric. Antiferromagnetic order, for example, extends to higher doping in the electron-doped case. For the hole-doped system, an extended macroscopic phase separation of the mixed AF+SC phase with a purely SC phase can be found.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{18}} This has been interpreted as a tendency toward the formation of microscopically inhomogeneous (e.g., stripe) phases. On the other hand, there is hardly a phase-separated state for the case of electron doping which may be seen to be consistent with the absence of rigorous signs for stripe structures in electron-doped materials.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{16,18}}

Using the cellular DMFT (C-DMFT) (including bath sites) for the set of hopping parameters considered here,\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{20}} but also for the particle-hole symmetric case ($t_{\text{hom}}=0$),\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{21}} one can nicely reproduce the domelike shape of the superconducting order parameter found in experiments. For $t_{\text{hom}}=0.3$, a homogeneous coexistence of antiferromagnetism and superconductivity (AF+SC) is found for fillings close to half filling while the pure SC phase persists for larger hole and electron concentrations. For the hole-doped system, the pure superconducting phase extends over a broader range of dopings than on the electron-doped side.

We conclude that VCA (no bath sites) and C-DMFT (including bath sites) yield very similar ground-state phase diagrams. However, there is an obvious discrepancy with respect to the size of the superconducting order parameter. VCA and C-DMFT results can differ by more than a factor 2 (see also results below). In addition, the optimal (hole and electron) doping is larger in the VCA as compared to the C-DMFT calculations. A major purpose of the present paper is to point out that this is due to an underestimation of local temporal correlations within conventional VCA. Physically, this means that temporal correlations, giving rise, e.g., to Kondo screening of magnetic moments, are important to understand the order parameter, the phase diagram, and eventually the pairing mechanism.

For practical calculations using the Lanczos method, it is quite tempting to consider a plaquette of four correlated sites since this allows for $d$-wave order as well as nonlocal singlet formation with a minimum computational effort. Employing a plaquette represents the first important step beyond a single-site (dynamical) mean-field approach. Here we present calculations obtained by an extension of the conventional VCA, i.e., we employ a reference cluster with one additional bath site attached to each correlated site. This can be done within the framework of the self-energy-functional theory (SFT) by comparing different but thermodynamically consistent approximations.

\section*{II. SELF-ENERGY-FUNCTIONAL THEORY}

Both, the VCA and the C-DMFT, can be seen as approximations originating from a certain cluster reference system within the context set by the SFT.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{12,22}} In the (conventional) VCA, we consider a finite cluster without bath sites embedded in the original lattice while for C-DMFT, a continuous bath is attached to each correlated site of a cluster (see Fig. 1). Both include nonlocal short-range spatial correlations on a scale up to the linear size of the cluster. Beyond that both approximations are mean-field-like. The treatment of local (temporal) correlations, however, is different. The continuous bath considered in the C-DMFT ensures that these are taken into account exactly for arbitrary cluster size and even in the case of single-site DMFT. On the other hand, within the VCA, the description of local correlations becomes exact in the infinite-cluster limit only.

Self-energy-functional theory\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{12}} starts from the grand potential of a system of interacting electrons expressed as a functional of the self-energy,

\begin{equation}
\Omega[\Sigma] = \text{Tr} \ln (G_0^{-1} - \Sigma)^{-1} + F[\Sigma]
\end{equation}

with the free Green’s function $G_0$ and $F[\Sigma]$ being the Legendre transform of the Luttinger-Ward functional $\Phi[G]$.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{23}} This functional can be shown to be stationary at the exact (physical) self-energy. Hence, we have the following dynamical variational principle:

\begin{equation}
\delta \Omega[\Sigma] = 0.
\end{equation}

This, however, cannot be evaluated in practice since the functional form of $F[\Sigma]$ (and of $\Phi[G]$) is actually unknown. The main idea of the SFT is to restrict the variation of the self-energy in the variational principle Eq. (3) to a certain subspace of trial self-energies which is spanned by the self-energies of an exactly solvable reference system (i.e., a small cluster). This means to parameterize the trial self-energy $\Sigma_\alpha$ by the one-particle parameters of the cluster $t'$ and to treat $t'$ as variational parameters.
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \Omega[\Sigma_{t'}] = 0. 
\] (4)

For a small cluster, the value of the grand potential at the trial self-energy, \( \Omega[\Sigma_{t'}] \), and thus the condition Eq. (4) can be evaluated numerically exact, see Refs. 12 and 18, for example.

For any practical calculation, one usually considers a few physically relevant parameters only. Figure 1 illustrates the different plaquette reference systems considered in this study and, for the VCA, the one-particle parameters to be optimized. The conventional VCA refers to a reference system with the following Hamiltonian:

\[
H' = \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij} + (\epsilon - \mu) \sum_i n_i + U \sum_i n_i n_i^\dagger + h_{\text{SC}} \sum_{ij} \Delta_{ij} (c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger + \text{H.c.}) 
\] (5)

including a global shift of the on-site energies \( \epsilon \) and a fictitious symmetry-breaking Weiss field of strength \( h_{\text{SC}} \) as variational parameters. Optimization of \( \epsilon \) ensures thermodynamic consistency with respect to the total particle number17 while the Weiss field allows for a possible superconducting phase. Note that the interaction term is the same as for the original model Eq. (1). Contrary, only intracluster hopping parameters \( t_{ij} \) are retained while the intercluster hopping is switched off. For \( d_{z^2-r^2} \) pairing,

\[
\Delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } r_i - r_j = \pm \epsilon_x \\
-1 & \text{for } r_i - r_j = \pm \epsilon_y 
\end{cases} 
\] (6)

with cluster sites \( r_x \), \( r_y \) and \( \epsilon_x \), \( \epsilon_y \) being the unity vectors in the \( x \) and the \( y \) direction.

Attaching one additional bath site to each correlated site results in the reference system Fig. 1, bottom. The Hamiltonian reads

\[
H' = \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} c_{ij}^\dagger c_{ij} + (\epsilon - \mu) \sum_i n_i + U \sum_i n_i n_i^\dagger 
+ V \sum_i (a_i^\dagger c_{i\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + (\epsilon - \mu) \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} 
+ h_{\text{SC}} \sum_{ij} \Delta_{ij} (a_i^\dagger a_j^\dagger + \text{H.c.}) .
\] (7)

Here, the hybridization strength \( V \) with the bath sites and their on-site energy \( \epsilon_B \) are treated as additional variational parameters. This leads to an improved description of local correlations as compared to the reference system Eq. (5). In Eq. (7), \( a_i^\dagger (a_i) \) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin projection \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \) on a bath site coupled via \( V \) to the cluster site \( r_i \), and \( n_{i\sigma} = a_i^\dagger a_i \).

Within the SFT and using the reference system in Fig. 1 (right), it turns out that the Euler equation of the variational principle Eq. (4) is equivalent with the C-DMFT self-consistency equation for the parameters of the continuous bath. The optimal values of the one-particle parameters associated with correlated sites can be shown to be given by their physical values, i.e., those of the original system.17 For a reference system with a finite number of bath sites, on the other hand, this is no longer necessarily true. Namely, the truncation of the intercluster hopping is partially compensated for by optimal parameters associated with the correlated sites that differ from their physical values. In the conventional VCA (i.e., no bath sites), this is obvious.

For a reference system including bath sites, Fig. 1 (bottom), we therefore expect that applying the fictitious (i.e., unphysical) Weiss field to the bath sites is much more efficient as compared to the correlated sites. We have numerically checked this for the case of antiferromagnetic order where both, a staggered magnetic Weiss field on the correlated and on the bath sites have been varied simultaneously: The optimal staggered field on the correlated sites has turned out to be typically more than an order of magnitude smaller than the one on the bath sites and to be negligible for the calculation of observables.

Consequently, in Eq. (7), we attach the symmetry-breaking Weiss field \( h_{\text{SC}} \) to the bath sites. Note, however, that we still do optimize the parameter \( \epsilon \) (in addition to \( \epsilon_B \)) to ensure the above-mentioned consistency with respect to the particle number.

### III. Spin-Dependent Particle-Hole Transformation

As has been demonstrated by Sénéchal et al.,15 a possible way to treat superconductivity within VCA is to employ the Nambu formalism where normal as well as anomalous Green’s functions have to be computed to evaluate the self-energy functional. An alternative is given by a spin-dependent particle-hole transformation of the original and the reference system. This restores particle-number conservation and avoids anomalous Green’s functions. The transformation is given by

\[
e_i^\dagger \rightarrow d_i^\dagger \quad a_i^\dagger \rightarrow b_i^\dagger , 
\]

\[
e_i^\dagger \rightarrow d_i^\dagger \quad a_i^\dagger \rightarrow b_i^\dagger .
\] (8)

Applying the particle-hole transformation to \( H' \), Eq. (7), we get

\[
\tilde{H}' = \sum_{ij} t_{ij} (d_j^\dagger d_j - d_i^\dagger d_j) + (\epsilon - \mu) \sum_i (\tilde{n}_{i\uparrow} - \tilde{n}_{i\downarrow}) 
+ U \sum_i \tilde{n}_{i\uparrow} - U \sum_i \tilde{n}_{i\downarrow} + V \sum_i (b_i^\dagger b_i) 
+ (\epsilon_B - \mu) \sum_i (\tilde{n}_{i\uparrow} - \tilde{n}_{i\downarrow}) + h_{\text{SC}} \sum_{ij} \Delta_{ij} (b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger + b_i^\dagger b_j) 
+ \sum_i (\epsilon + \epsilon_B - 2\mu),
\] (9)

where \( \tilde{n}_{i\sigma} = d_i^\dagger d_i \), \( \tilde{n}_{i\sigma} = b_i^\dagger b_i \) and \( t_{ij}^\prime = t_{ij} \) and \( \Delta_{ij} = \Delta_{ji} \) have been used.

Equation (9) is interpreted as a reference system corresponding to the particle-hole-transformed original system Eq. (1). For both cases, the transformation yields an attractive Hubbard interaction \( U \rightarrow -U \). Hopping terms become spin dependent, and chemical-potential-like terms are mapped onto ferromagnetic fields and vice versa. The non-
local pairing field maps onto a nonlocal spin-flip term. The transformed Hamiltonian respects particle-number conservation (unless there were spin-flip terms in the original one which would transform to pairing fields), the \( z \) component of the total spin, however, is no longer conserved. For the transformed reference system, the anomalous Green’s function vanishes; the normal Green’s function is no longer diagonal in the spin index \( \sigma \).

In practice, we first evaluate the self-energy functional for the transformed original system \( \hat{H} \) by solving the problem posed by the transformed reference system \( \hat{H}' \), i.e., we calculate the corresponding Green’s function and self-energy, evaluate therewith the self-energy functional and optimize the variational parameters. Note that the variational parameters are chosen accordingly (e.g., the on-site energy is represented as the strength of a ferromagnetic field in the transformed system, see Eq. (9), and this field strength is varied and optimized). We have crosschecked with the results obtained without transformation where possible. Observables, i.e., static expectation values, Green’s functions, and the SFT grand potential, have to be transformed back, optimization of the parameters is performed subsequently.

As an advantage of using the particle-hole transformation, only minor changes in the standard VCA code are necessary. The evaluation of the self-energy functional can still be done using the Q-matrix technique introduced in Ref. 18, for example.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

For the discussion of the results of our VCA calculations, we focus on the superconducting order parameter. Besides integral quantities such as the ground-state energy, this is the observable which is sensitive to the relevant low-energy scale and which shows the largest discrepancy when comparing (plain) VCA and C-DMFT.

To be consistent with the C-DMFT calculations of Kancharla et al.,\(^{20}\) we take

\[
\Delta_{\text{SC}} = |\langle c_{i \uparrow} c_{j \downarrow} \rangle| \tag{10}
\]

as the definition for the superconducting order parameter. The indices \( i \) and \( j \) refer to nearest-neighbor sites. Since translational symmetry is broken by the cluster approach, \( \langle c_{i \uparrow} c_{j \downarrow} \rangle \) is computed for lattice sites which, in the reference system, would belong to the same cluster. VCA calculations using the reference systems given in Fig. 1 have been performed for a square lattice consisting of up to \( L=6400 \) sites for nearest-neighbor hopping set to \( t_{\text{nn}}=-1 \), second-nearest-neighbor hopping \( t_{\text{nnn}}=0.3 \) and \( U=8 \).

The results are summarized in Fig. 2 which shows the order parameter \( \Delta_{\text{SC}} \) as a function of the electron density \( n \) for zero temperature. Apart from the normal state, we have allowed for a pure superconducting phase only and suppressed a possible antiferromagnetic phase which shows up close to half filling and has been studied in detail in previous work.\(^{16-19,24}\) In the case of the conventional VCA (blue line, reference system Fig. 1, left), a Mott insulating solution is found at half filling. With increasing doping, \( \Delta_{\text{SC}} \) increases and reveals its maximum value at \( n=0.7 \) for hole doping and \( n=1.2 \) for electron doping. Unfortunately, we could not trace the solution for dopings much larger than the optimal dopings. Quite generally, a possible discontinuous change in the ground state of the finite reference cluster may result in a discontinuous change in the self-energy and thus of the SFT grand potential. Thereby, a solution can cease to exist.

Using VCA with bath sites (red line, reference system Fig. 1, bottom), the order parameter is small but remains finite at half filling. The maxima of \( \Delta_{\text{SC}} \) are found at \( n=0.92 \) and \( n=1.08 \), i.e., at significantly lower dopings as compared to the results of the conventional VCA. This comes close to the C-DMFT results of Kancharla et al.\(^{20}\) The stationary point could not be traced for hole doping larger than \( 1-n \approx 0.2 \) and electron doping larger than \( n-1 \approx 0.3 \). On the hole-doped side, a second symmetry-broken solution could be found which comes very close to the C-DMFT data and shows up a second-order critical point with \( \Delta_{\text{SC}} \to 0 \) and with the symmetry-breaking Weiss field \( h_{\text{h,SC}} \to 0 \) at \( n \approx 0.75 \). This solution is found to exist up to \( n \approx 0.96 \).

In the entire hole-doping range where two superconducting solutions can be found, however, the one with the larger order parameter is more stable, i.e., for \( T=0 \) has a lower ground-state energy at the same filling. This is what could be expected from a (cluster) mean-field theory. The ground-state energies of the respective solutions are shown in Fig. 3. Note that the energy difference between the two solutions obtained from VCA with bath sites is rather small compared to the energy difference between the results from conventional VCA and VCA with bath sites. It is also worth mentioning that the conventional VCA ground-state energy is higher al-
though the corresponding solution has the larger order parameter. Both facts show that including bath sites considerably improves the approximation; bath sites couple to the plaquette of correlated sites and yield a significant binding-energy gain. This gain is finite but small at half filling and increases with increasing doping.

Conceptually, the inclusion of bath sites improves the variational ansatz for the trial self-energy. Bath sites mimic the residual lattice into which the cluster is embedded by taking into account processes involving the sites of the cluster environment on a mean-field level. Physically, this means to improve the description of local (intracluster) quantum fluctuations. These additional fluctuations are expected and in fact seen to decrease the order parameter. An, in principle, optimal treatment of local fluctuations is provided by (cluster) DMFT where a continuum of bath sites is considered. By comparing the VCA with and without bath sites with the C-DMFT and the respective results for the order parameter (see Fig. 2), we find that the essential step is already done by attaching a single bath site to each of the correlated sites. The convergence with the number of bath sites has already been recognized to be extremely fast in different contexts, see Refs. 25–27. The inclusion of more and more bath sites must bridge the remaining discrepancies with the C-DMFT results since conceptually the SFT recovers C-DMFT in this limit. Note, however, that for the practical C-DMFT calculations performed at $T=0$ using the Lanczos technique, a plaquette geometry with two additional bath sites per correlated site has been used only.20

For the conventional VCA without bath sites, the normal state at half filling $n=1$ is described by a half-filled reference cluster, i.e., $n'=1$. Upon doping and provided that the solution can be traced continuously, i.e., that there is no discontinuous change in the cluster ground state, the cluster remains at half filling, $n'=1$. This yields an obviously unphysical description of strongly doped phases. As has already been demonstrated for the one-dimensional Hubbard model,26 bath sites can help to overcome this problem since they serve as charge reservoirs while the reference cluster remains half filled, the average occupation number $n'$ on the correlated sites in the cluster is close to the electron density $n$ of the lattice model. For a superconducting state, the situation is somewhat different since there is no particle-number conservation. Still there is a similar problem for plain VCA calculations without bath sites as can be seen from Fig. 4. In the hole-doped case at $n=0.7$, for example, we find $n'=0.88$ (this corresponds to a sizeable difference $|n-n'|=0.18$, see figure). Adding a single bath site per correlated site, yields a strongly improved although not perfect description with a smaller difference $|n-n'|$ as shown in the figure. Conceptually, $n=n'$ can be achieved for a continuum of bath sites only, i.e., for full C-DMFT.

As can be seen in Fig. 4, there is a small but finite difference $|n-n'|$ even at half filling, opposed to the results of the conventional VCA. This might correspond to the finite but small value of the order parameter at half filling (see Fig. 2) which then would have to be considered as an artifact. On the other hand, a superconducting state at half filling is not unexpected for frustrated lattice models (i.e., for finite $t_{\text{nnn}}$) if, at the critical point for the superconducting instability, the system is metallic. In fact, a $d$-wave superconducting state at $n=1$ has been found, for example, even within plain VCA in Ref. 19 and within variational Monte Carlo.28

The translational symmetries of the original lattice are artificially broken by any (real-space) cluster approximation. Since bath sites are expected to provide an improved embedding of the cluster in the residual lattice, however, one may expect that they help to restore the symmetries of the original state in a quantum-cluster approach. It is clear that even with a full C-DMFT calculation, this can be achieved only partially unless one uses additional (ad hoc) symmetrization.
schemes subsequently. Nevertheless, there is a considerable improvement as shown by our results. In Eq. (10), we have defined the order parameter $\Delta_{SC}$ on neighboring sites in the original lattice belonging to the same reference cluster. $\Delta_{SC}$ is independent of the nearest-neighbor pair for a $2 \times 2$ cluster. To compare with the order parameter $\Delta_{SC,inter}$ for neighboring sites belonging to different clusters, we define the asymmetry parameter,

$$ \delta_\Delta = \frac{\Delta_{SC} - \Delta_{SC,inter}}{\Delta_{SC} + \Delta_{SC,inter}}. \quad (11) $$

Figure 5 shows $\delta_\Delta$ as a function of the electron density $n$. It is remarkable that the asymmetry can be reduced, depending on the doping, by more than one third. We expect that for an even stronger reduction a larger cluster would be much more efficient than adding more bath sites.

V. CONCLUSION

Several cluster-embedding approaches can be formulated within the framework of the self-energy-functional theory which differ with respect to bath degrees of freedom. Cellular DMFT maps the lattice problem onto a small cluster of correlated sites to each of which a continuous set of bath sites is attached. This ensures an optimal description of the local fluctuations. To access the zero-temperature phase diagram for Hubbard-type models of strongly correlated electron systems, there is basically the Lanczos technique only which may serve as a “solver” for the effective impurity (cluster) problem. This implies that, in practice, a limited number of bath sites can be taken into account. The plain VCA employs a reference system without any bath sites at all. This approximation represents a valuable counterpart to the C-DMFT which, however, provides an exact treatment of the local fluctuations in the limit of an infinitely large reference cluster only.

An extended VCA which employs a reference system with a single bath site per correlated site suggests itself as a compromise and has been used here to study the doped two-dimensional Hubbard model at zero temperature. As compared to conventional (plain) VCA, we found a substantial gain in binding energy when attaching the bath sites. For a pure $d$-wave superconducting state, the extended VCA yields a considerably smaller order parameter which comes close to the predictions of plaquette C-DMFT. The same holds for the optimal values for hole and electron doping, defined as maxima of the filling-dependent order parameter. From the comparison with the C-DMFT data, we conclude that, as concerns the improved description of local correlations, attaching a single bath site per correlated site does the main part of the job. Clearly, larger clusters exceeding a plaquette are desirable to improve the description of spatial correlations.

Methodically, bath sites serve as charge reservoirs and in this way yield an average occupation on the correlated sites in the cluster which, opposed to plain VCA, is much closer to the band filling in the original lattice model although the total cluster filling still stays at half filling in the normal state (this is different for the superconducting phase where the particle number has no definite value). Bath sites also help to partially restore the translational symmetry that is artificially broken by the (real-space) quantum-cluster concept.

Physically, the comparison of the different variational cluster approximations shows that local temporal correlations in addition to nonlocal spatial correlations are important to understand the size and the doping dependence of the $d$-wave superconducting order parameter. The corrections due to local correlations are most pronounced for the optimal-doped and the overdoped regime. Here, local quantum fluctuations are found to substantially decrease the order parameter. This could be attributed to a Kondo-type screening of local magnetic moments which in turn reduces spin fluctuations.
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